Motion No.	Description	Initial Straw Poll	Second Straw Poll	UK Delegate	UK Delegate Team's
Motion 1	To adopt for the 2025 Interim WSC and the 2026 WSC only: If a motion has consensus in an initial straw poll (that's 80% or more in support or not in support), the Cofacilitators will announce the results as a final decision.	88 yes-28 no-7 abstain-3 present not voting 71% Strong Support	84-35-2-3 69% Strong Support (Motion Carries) Final Decision .	Team's Vote No	Rational We feel the lone voice is sometimes the one we need to hear most. We feel this motion does not feel like true CBDM and could lead to important points of view being lost. There are other ways to save time at conference. We also voted No to the various amendments, as we felt they didn't really improve the motion) Full list of amendments are in the RD folder.
Motion 2	To approve the 2023 World Service Conference minutes.	Initial Straw Poll 116-0-5-5 95% Consensus Support	Second straw poll = 114 -1-1-7 98% Consensus Support (Motion Carried)	Our Vote – Present Not Voting	Our Rational – We figured as we were not part of the conference we should not vote.
Motion 3	To approve the 2025–2026 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. Budget. (See Addendum A in the CAR report)	Initial Straw Poll 119-1-4-2 95% Consensus Support (Motion Carries)	Because Motion One passed, initial straw poll had over 80% support, so this becomes a vote.	Our Vote - Yes	Our Rational – We looked at the budgeted figures in comparison to the already approved 2-year budget. The slight increase looked about

Motion 4	To extend the 2023–2025 Reimbursement Policy through the 2026 fiscal year	Initial Straw Poll 118-2-4-2 95% Consensus Support (Motion Carries)	Because Motion One passed, initial straw poll had over 80% support, so this becomes a vote.	Our Vote - Yes	right in relation to previous years. Our Rational - Motion is simply extending a previously approved policy for one year.
Motion 5	To adopt for the current conference cycle only: The 2026 WSC will take a new step in Strategic Planning by using a revised process (described below) for the CAR survey to consider ideas for recovery literature, service material, and Issue Discussion Topics. Instead of submitting motions for project plans to create specific pieces of service material, recovery literature or IDTs for the 2026 Conference Agenda Report, conference participants will submit those ideas for possible inclusion in the 2026 CAR survey.	Initial Straw Poll 112-6-6-2 90% Consensus Support (Motion Carries)	Because Motion One passed, initial straw poll had over 80% support, so this becomes a vote.	Our Vote - Yes	Our Rational - Our consensus was that this could be a good way of trimming down on repeat or very similar motions, this might save valuable time at conference and also might get some regions working together to co-sponsor motions. Also, with it only being for one cycle, we figured, why not try it.

Motion 6	To update GWSNA	Initial Straw Poll 95-	Motion #6 a1 Initial	Our Vote - Yes	Our Rational - Our
(Amended)	amendment deadline policy	22-6-3 77% Strong	Straw Poll 83-26-8-9		thought process was that
	include first draft due forty-	Support (Motion	70% Strong Support		earlier deadlines would
	five (45) days sixty (60 days)	Carries)	Second straw poll =		give delegates more time
	in advance of WSC and final		87 -29-5-4 71%		to digest the info and also
	amendment deadline thirty		Strong Support		more time for any
	forty-five (30 45 days)		Third straw poll		translations etc.
	in advance of WSC.		(vote) = 92-19-4-4		
			80% Consensus		
			Support		
			(Amendment		
			passes) Co-facs		
			announce that		
			motion 6 passes		
			with the		
			amendment.		
Motion 7	To add to GWSNA	Initial Straw Poll 91-	Motion #7 a1 Initial	Our Vote - Yes	Our Rational - Our
(Amended)	amendment deadline policy	25-7-3 73% Strong	Straw Poll 82-26-8-		thought process was that
	to include first draft	Support	10 70% Strong		earlier deadlines would
	due thirty (30 days) forty-five		Support		give delegates more time
	(45 days) in advance of		Motion maker		to digest the info and also
	interim WSC and final		explained why they		more time for any
	amendment deadline fifteen		made the		translations etc.
	(15 days) thirty (30 days) in		amendment.		
	advance of interim WSC.		Second straw poll		
			(vote) = 86-24-2-4		
			76% Strong Support		
			(Amendment		
			passes)		

Motion 8	To add the following language defining amendments to the CAR & CAT-related paragraphs in GWSNA (pages 13 & 14): An amendment to a motion is a change or addition designed to improve the motion. The purpose of an amendment is to refine a motion to move the Fellowship closer toward consensus. An amendment should clarify, add, or subtract language that keeps the motion consistent with its intent. An amendment shall not replace a motion or introduce a substitute or contrary motion. A motion to split or divide a motion is an amendment to the motion.	Initial Straw Poll 119-1-4-2 95% Consensus Support (Motion Carries)	Because Motion One passed, initial straw poll had over 80% support, so this becomes a vote.	Our Vote - Yes	Our Rational - Language update for GWSNA, process already being used.
Motion 9	To make the following changes regarding amendments and replacements of motions during the decision-making process to Addendum F in GWSNA: 8. The Conference may decide to replace or	Initial Straw Poll 103-11-9-3 83% Consensus Support (Motion Carries)	Because Motion One passed, initial straw poll had over 80% support, so this becomes a vote.	Our Vote - Yes	Our Rational - The procedure allowing Cofacilitators (Cofacs) to propose amendments or replacements is already part of established policy (in Addendum F of

amend motions that have	GWSNA 2023-2026). It
been presented	·
based on prior discussions.	was designed to
When the motion is	streamline decision-
presented, the WSC Co-	making and prevent the
facilitator may	lengthy and unproductive
recognize any participant	sessions that used to
offering a replacement or	occur before consensus-
amendment, or offer a	based decision-making
suggestion	(CBDM) was adopted.
to the Conference during the	Historically, amendments
discussion of a motion. If	from the floor—often
supported by two-thirds of	layered on top of one
the	another—bogged down
Conference, the	the process. This policy
replacement/amendment will	strikes a balance
be accepted. The WSC Co- facilitator	between flexibility and
may interrupt this simplified	maintaining a clear,
process at any time they	structured approach.
believe such action is	It's also important to note
warranted.	that the sentence begins
	with, "The Conference
	may decide",
	emphasizing that checks
	and balances are in
	place. Any amendment
	the Cofacs propose must
	still go through the
	established WSC
	processes, including full
	debate and requiring a
	uebate and requiring a

					2/3 majority to pass. This ensures the body retains full authority over any decisions. Additionally, any delegate may suggest a replacement or amendment to the Cofacilitators if they believe it would assist in moving the discussion forward. This collaborative approach reinforces the inclusive and consensus-based principles of our decision-making process.
Motion 10	All candidates for World Service positions are forwarded to the HRP from regions, zonal forums, or the World Board (RBZ).	Initial Straw Poll 113-5-5-3 91% Consensus Support (Motion Carries)	Because Motion One passed, initial straw poll had over 80% support, so this becomes a vote.	Our Vote - Yes	Our Rational - We feel that serving at this level requires a lot more than just willingness. We feel RBZ recommendations would reflect the principles of Concept 4 more closely.
Motion 11	If Motion 10 is adopted, to redefine the purpose of the World Pool to be used to retain information on candidates forwarded for	Initial Straw Poll 111-6-7-2 89% Consensus Support (Motion Carries)	Because Motion One passed, initial straw poll had over 80% support, so	Our Vote - Yes	Our Rational - Similar to Motion 10, again we feel that's serving at this level

	consideration by regions, zones, and the World Board as a part of the Human Resource Panel's nomination process.		this becomes a vote.		should have RBZ support for nominations.
Motion 12	To not utilize a seating workgroup for the 2023–2026 cycle.	Initial Straw Poll 105-7-11-3 85% Consensus Support Consensus Support (Motion Carries)	Because Motion One passed, initial straw poll had over 80% support, so this becomes a vote.	Our Vote – No	Our Rational - Our feeling was similar to Motion one, sometimes a more discerning voice highlights issues others might miss. We also feel that having a workgroup helps keep the feeling that these are fellowship decisions.